

# Asymptotic Behavior of Orthogonal Polynomials Corresponding to a Measure with Infinite Discrete Part off a Curve

Rachid Benzine

*Department of Mathematics, University of Annaba,  
B.P 12 El Hadjar, 23000 Annaba, Algeria*

*Communicated by W. Van Assche*

Received January 4, 1996; accepted in revised form April 11, 1996

We determine the asymptotic behavior of orthogonal polynomials associated to a measure  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ , where  $\beta$  is a measure concentrated on a rectifiable Jordan curve and  $\gamma$  is an infinite discrete measure. © 1997 Academic Press

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\alpha$  be a finite positive measure defined on the Borel sets of  $C$ . If  $F = \text{support } \alpha$  and if all moments of  $\alpha$  exist, then the monic orthogonal polynomials associated to the measure  $\alpha$  are given by

$$L_n(z) = z^n + \dots, \quad \int_F L_n(z) \bar{z}^p d\alpha = 0; \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (1.1)$$

If the measure  $\alpha$  is not discrete, then for every  $n$ , the polynomial  $L_n$  exists and is unique.

In [2], we have studied the asymptotic behavior of the orthogonal polynomials  $\{L_n\}$ , where  $F = E \cup \{z_k\}_{k=1}^N$ ,  $E$  a rectifiable Jordan curve and  $z_k \in \Omega$ ,  $\Omega = \text{exterior}(E)$ ;  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ ;  $\beta$  is concentrated on  $E$  and is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $|d\xi|$  on the arc;  $d\beta = \rho(\xi) |d\xi|$ ; and  $\gamma$  is a discrete measure with masses  $A_k$  at the points  $z_k \in \text{Ext}(E)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

In this paper we generalize Theorem 4.1 of [2], when  $F = E \cup \{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ ;  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ ; and  $E$  and  $\beta$  possess the same characteristics as in [2].

$$\gamma = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \delta_{z_k}, \quad A_k > 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k < +\infty.$$

2. THE SPACE  $H^2(\Omega, \rho)$ 

Suppose  $E$  is a rectifiable Jordan curve in the complex plane,  $\Omega = \text{Ext}(E)$ ,  $G = \{w \in C/|w| > 1\}$  ( $\infty \in \Omega$ ,  $\infty \in G$ ), and  $\Phi: \Omega \rightarrow G$  is the conformal mapping with  $\Phi(\infty) = \infty$  and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi(z)/z) > 0$ . Then

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{C(E)} z + c_0 + \frac{c_1}{z} + \frac{c_2}{z^2} + \dots$$

in a neighborhood of infinity. We denote  $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$ .

Let  $H(\Omega)$  be the space of functions analytic in  $\Omega$ . We say that  $f \in H^2(\Omega)$  if  $f \in H(\Omega)$  and

$$\int_{Er} |f(z)|^2 |dz| \leq C, \quad 1 < r \leq 2, \quad Er = \{z: |\Phi(z)| = r\},$$

and  $C$  is a constant independent of  $r$ . A function  $f \in H^2(\Omega)$  if and only if  $f(\Psi(w)) \cdot \sqrt{\Psi'(w)} \in H^2(G)$ , and a function  $F \in H^2(G)$  if and only if  $F(1/w') \in H^2(D)$ ; ( $w' \in D$ ;  $D = \{z \in C/|z| < 1\}$ ). The space  $H^2(D)$  is well known (see [3, 5]).

Let  $\rho(\xi)$  be an integrable nonnegative function on  $E$ . If the weight function  $\rho(\xi)$  satisfies the Szegő condition

$$\int_E \log(\rho(\xi)) |\Phi'(\xi)| |d\xi| > -\infty, \quad (2.1)$$

then one can construct the so-called Szegő function  $D_\rho(z)$  associated with domain  $\Omega$  and weight function  $\rho(\xi)$  with the following properties:

$$D_\rho \in H^2(\Omega); \quad D_\rho(z) \neq 0 \quad (z \in \Omega); \quad D_\rho(\infty) > 0; \quad |\tilde{D}_\rho(\xi)|^2 = \rho(\xi)$$

where  $\tilde{D}_\rho(\xi) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \xi} D_\rho(z)$  (almost everywhere on  $E$ ) (see [6]).

Let  $f(z)$  be a function in  $H(\Omega)$ ; we say that  $f \in H^2(\Omega, \rho)$  if  $(f \cdot D_\rho) \in H^2(\Omega)$ . We find the principal properties of the space  $H^2(\Omega, \rho)$  in the following theorem:

**THEOREM 2.1** [2]. *If  $f(z) \in H^2(\Omega, \rho)$  then almost everywhere on  $E$  the angular limit  $\tilde{f}(\xi)$  exists:  $\tilde{f}(\xi) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \xi} f(z)$ . Furthermore,*

- (1)  $\tilde{f} \in L^2(E, \rho(\xi) |d\xi|)$
- (2)  $(H^2(\Omega, \rho), \|\cdot\|_\rho)$  is a Hilbert space where

$$\|f\|_\rho^2 = \langle f, f \rangle_\rho \quad \text{and} \quad \langle f, g \rangle_\rho = \int_E \tilde{f}(\xi) \bar{\tilde{g}}(\xi) \rho(\xi) |d\xi|,$$

for  $f \in H^2(\Omega, \rho)$  and  $g \in H^2(\Omega, \rho)$ .

(3) If  $K \subset \Omega$ ,  $K$  compact, then there exists a constant  $C(K)$  ( $C(K)$  depends only on  $K$ ) possessing the following property:

$$\forall f \in H^2(\Omega, \rho), \quad \forall z \in K, \quad |f(z)| \leq C(K) \|f\|_\rho. \quad (2.2)$$

### 3. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR

We now study the asymptotic behavior of orthogonal polynomials  $\{L_n\}$  associated to the measure  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ . We say that a measure  $\alpha$  belongs to the class  $S$  (denoted by  $\alpha \in S$ ) if the absolutely continuous part  $\beta$  of  $\alpha$  is such that:

$$d\beta = \rho(\zeta) |d(\zeta)|, \quad \rho: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \int_E \rho(\zeta) |d\zeta| < +\infty,$$

and

$$\int_E \text{Log}(\rho(\zeta)) |\Phi'(\zeta)| |d\zeta| > -\infty. \quad (3.1)$$

The measure  $\gamma$  is such that

$$\gamma = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \delta_{z_k}; \quad A_k > 0; \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k < +\infty. \quad (3.2)$$

We suppose that the moments of  $\alpha$  exist.

Relations (1.1) become:

$$L_n(z) = z^n + \dots; \quad \int_E L_n(\zeta) \bar{\zeta}^p \rho(\zeta) |d\zeta| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k L_n(z_k) \bar{z}_k^p = 0; \\ p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (3.3)$$

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$  be such that  $\alpha \in S$ . We say that a measure  $\alpha$  belongs to the class  $\tilde{S}$  (denoted by  $\alpha \in \tilde{S}$ ) if the discrete part  $\gamma$  of  $\alpha$  satisfies (3.2), and moreover:

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Phi(z_k)| - 1 \right) < \infty \quad (3.4)$$

$$\frac{\int_E |L_n(\zeta)|^2 \rho(\zeta) |d\zeta|}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k |L_n(z_k)|^2} \geq \frac{1}{(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Phi(z_k)|)^2 - 1}. \quad (3.5)$$

The extremal polynomial  $L_n$  is such that

$$\|L_n\|_\alpha^2 = \min\{\|Q_n\|_\alpha^2: Q_n = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0\} = m_n(\alpha), \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\|L_n\|_\alpha^2 = \int_E |L_n(\zeta)|^2 \rho(\zeta) |d\zeta| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k |L_n(z_k)|^2.$$

Define  $\mu_n(\alpha)$ ,  $\mu(\alpha)$ , and  $\hat{\mu}(\alpha)$  as the extremal values of the following problems:

$$\mu_n(\alpha) = \min \left\{ \int_E |\varphi_n(\zeta)|^2 \rho(\zeta) |d\zeta| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k |\Phi(z_k)|^{2n} |\varphi_n(z_k)|^2: \right. \\ \left. \varphi_n = \frac{Q_n}{[C(E)\Phi]^n}; Q_n \in P_n; \varphi_n(\infty) = 1 \right\} \quad (3.7)$$

( $P_n$  is the set of polynomials of degree at most  $n$ .)

$$\mu(\alpha) = \inf\{\|\varphi\|_\rho^2: \varphi \in H^2(\Omega, \rho), \varphi(\infty) = 1\} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\hat{\mu}(\alpha) = \inf\{\|\varphi\|_\rho^2: \varphi \in H^2(\Omega, \rho); \varphi(\infty) = 1; \varphi(z_k) = 0, k = 1, 2, \dots\} \quad (3.9)$$

We denote respectively by  $\varphi_n^*$ ,  $\varphi^*$ , and  $\hat{\varphi}^*$  the extremal functions of the problems (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9). We have

$$\varphi_n^* = \frac{L_n}{[C(E)\Phi]^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n(\alpha) = \frac{m_n(\alpha)}{C(E)^{2n}}. \quad (3.10)$$

We denote by  $\Phi_n$  the polynomial part of the Laurent expansion of  $\Phi^n$  in a neighborhood of infinity and  $\lambda_n = \Phi^n - \Phi_n$ .

Geronimus introduced in [1] a class of curves denoted by  $\tau$ , and defined it as follows:

*A rectifiable Jordan curve  $E$  belongs to the class  $\tau$  if*

$$\lambda_n(\zeta) \rightarrow 0, \text{ uniformly on } E. \quad (3.11)$$

We find in [1, pp. 22, 23] the following families of curves belonging to the class  $\tau$ :

(a) *The analytic curves.* The property (3.11) has been proved by Faber;

$$|\lambda_n(\zeta)| \leq Cr^n, \quad 0 < r < 1$$

(see Szegő [7]).

(b) *The smooth curves  $z(t)$ , whose derivatives  $z'(t)$  satisfy the Lipschitz condition:*

$$|z'(t_1) - z'(t_2)| < L |t_1 - t_2|^\alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1.$$

In this case, we get from Korovkin [4] the following inequality:  $|\lambda_n(\xi)| < C/n^{\alpha_1}$ ,  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha$ ,  $\xi \in E$ .

(c) We can find in [1] other families of curves satisfying (3.11) whose descriptions are not as explicit as the former ones.

The result of this paper is

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$  such that  $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ ,  $E \in \tau$ , and  $\{L_n\}$  is the system of monic orthogonal polynomials associated to  $\alpha$ . Then we have:*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(L_n/[C(E)\Phi]^n) - \hat{\phi}^*\|_p^2 = 0 \tag{3.12}$$

$$(2) \quad L_n(z) = C(E)^n \Phi^n(z) [(\varphi^*(z) \cdot B(z)) + \varepsilon_n(z)], \tag{3.13}$$

$$B(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(z) - \Phi(z_k)}{(\Phi(z) \cdot \overline{\Phi(z_k)}) - 1} \cdot \frac{|\Phi(z_k)|^2}{\Phi(z_k)}, \tag{3.14}$$

$\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly on compact sets of  $\Omega$ .

We begin by proving the next lemmas:

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $\varphi \in H^2(\Omega, \rho)$  such that  $\varphi(\infty) = 1$  and  $\varphi(z_k) = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $B$  the Blaschke product (3.14). Then:*

$$(1) \quad B \in H^2(\Omega, \rho); \quad B(\infty) = 1; \quad |\tilde{B}(\xi)| = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Phi(z_k)| \quad (\tilde{B}(\xi) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \xi} B(z))$$

$$(2) \quad \frac{\varphi}{B} \in H^2(\Omega, \rho) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\varphi}{B}\right)(\infty) = 1.$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.1.* (1) is evident if we remark that

$$B(z) = k_1(\Phi(z)),$$

where

$$k_1(w) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w - w_k}{w\bar{w}_k - 1} \cdot \frac{|w_k|^2}{w_k}, \quad w_k = \Phi(z_k), \quad |w| > 1$$

and

$$\forall w: k_1(w) = k_2(w) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} |w_k|,$$

where

$$k_2(w) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w - w_k}{w\bar{w}_k - 1} \cdot \frac{|w_k|}{w_k},$$

$k_2$  is bounded in  $G$ , and  $|\tilde{k}_2(e^{i\theta})| = 1$  almost everywhere.  $\tilde{k}_2$  is the angular limit of  $k_2$  (see [3] and [5]).

(2)  $B(z_k) = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $B(z) \neq 0$  if  $z \neq z_k$ . Then  $\{z_k\}$  are regular for  $\varphi/B$ . Therefore  $\varphi/B$  has an analytic extension in  $\Omega$ .

Now we show that  $\varphi/B \in H^2(\Omega, \rho)$ . It suffices to prove that

$$L(w') \in H^2(D),$$

where  $D = \{w' : |w'| < 1\}$  and

$$L(w') = \frac{\varphi(\Psi(1/w')) D_\rho(\Psi(1/w')) \cdot \sqrt{\Psi'(1/w')}}{B(\Psi(1/w'))}.$$

Effectively, we have that

$$F(w') = \varphi\left(\Psi\left(\frac{1}{w'}\right)\right) \cdot D_\rho\left(\Psi\left(\frac{1}{w'}\right)\right) \cdot \sqrt{\Psi'\left(\frac{1}{w'}\right)} \in H^2(D),$$

and

$$F(w'_k) = 0 \quad \left(w'_k = \frac{1}{\Phi(z_k)}, k = 1, 2, \dots\right).$$

Now if we consider the classical Blaschke product  $K(w')$  [3, 5]:

$$K(w') = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w' - w'_k}{w'\bar{w}'_k - 1} \cdot \frac{|w'_k|}{w'_k}, \quad |w'| < 1,$$

and

$$B_1(w') = B\left(\Psi\left(\frac{1}{w'}\right)\right),$$

then we have

$$B_1(w') = K(w') \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|w'_k|}, \quad |w'| < 1.$$

Finally,

$$L(w') = \frac{F(w')}{K(w')} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1/|w'_k|)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{F}{K} \in H^2(D) \quad ([3, 5]).$$

LEMMA 3.2. *The extremal functions  $\hat{\varphi}^*$  and  $\varphi^*$  are connected by*

$$\hat{\varphi}^*(z) = B(z) \cdot \varphi^*(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mu}(\alpha) = \mu(\alpha) \cdot \left( \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Phi(z_k)| \right)^2.$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.2.* The proof is the same as that of Lemma 4.2 of [2]. We replace the finite Blaschke product by the infinite product  $B$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* As in Theorem 4.1 of [2], the essential step is to prove

$$\lim \mu_n(\alpha) = \hat{\mu}(\alpha). \tag{3.15}$$

(A) An upper bound of  $\overline{\lim} \mu_n(\alpha)$ . We have

$$\mu_n(\alpha) = \frac{1}{C(E)^{2n}} \left( \int_E |L_n(\xi)|^2 \rho(\xi) |d\xi| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k |L_n(z_k)|^2 \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \min \left\{ \int_E |\varphi_n(\xi)|^2 \rho(\xi) |d\xi| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k |\Phi(z_k)|^{2n} |\varphi_n(z_k)|^2; \right. \\ \left. \varphi_n = \frac{Q_n B}{[C(E) \Phi]^n}; \varphi_n(\infty) = 1 \right\} \\ = \frac{1}{C(E)^{2n}} \left( \left( \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Phi(z_k)| \right)^2 \cdot \int_E |L_n(\xi)|^2 \rho(\xi) |d\xi| \right) = \mu_n(\rho') \\ \rho' = \rho \cdot \left( \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Phi(z_k)| \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n(\rho') = \min \left\{ \int_E |\varphi_n(\xi)|^2 \rho'(\xi) |d\xi|; \varphi_n = \frac{Q_n}{[C(E) \Phi]^n}; \varphi_n(\infty) = 1 \right\}, \\ \alpha \in \tilde{S} \Rightarrow \mu_n(\alpha) \leq \mu_n(\rho') \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n(\rho') \rightarrow \mu(\rho') \end{aligned}$$

(see [2]), where

$$\mu(\rho') = \inf \left\{ \int_E |\tilde{\varphi}(\xi)|^2 \rho'(\xi) |d\xi| : \varphi \in H^2(\Omega, \rho); \varphi(\infty) = 1 \right\}.$$

Finally, we have

$$\overline{\lim} \mu_n(\alpha) \leq \mu(\rho'). \tag{3.16}$$

We prove without difficulty as in Lemma 4.1 of [2] (we replace  $\omega_\ell$  in [2] by  $B$ ) that

$$\mu(\rho') = \hat{\mu}(\alpha). \tag{3.17}$$

$$(3.16) \text{ and } (3.17) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \overline{\lim} \mu_n(\alpha) \leq \hat{\mu}(\alpha). \tag{3.18}$$

(B) A lower bound of  $\underline{\lim} \mu_n(\alpha)$ . We have

$$\forall \ell > 0, \quad \mu_n(\alpha) \geq \mu_n(\ell), \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n(\ell) = \frac{1}{C(E)^{2n}} \min \left\{ \int_E |Q(\xi)|^2 \rho(\xi) |d\xi| + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} A_k |Q(z_k)|^2 : \right. \\ \left. Q(z) = z^n + \dots + a_0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known (from [2]) that  $\mu_n(\ell) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(\ell)$ , where

$$\mu(\ell) = \inf \{ \|\varphi\|_\rho^2 : \varphi \in H^2(\Omega, \rho); \varphi(\infty) = 1; \varphi(z_k) = 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, \ell \}.$$

We have also that

$$\mu(\ell) = \mu(\alpha) \cdot \left( \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} |\Phi(z_k)| \right)^2$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}(\alpha) = \mu(\alpha) \cdot \left( \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Phi(z_k)| \right)^2 \quad (\text{Lemma 3.2}).$$

We then obtain that

$$\forall \ell > 0, \quad \underline{\lim} \mu_n(\alpha) \geq \mu(\alpha) \cdot \left( \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} |\Phi(z_k)| \right)^2,$$



and finally that

$$\underline{\lim} \mu_n(\alpha) \geq \mu(\alpha) \cdot \left( \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Phi(z_k)| \right)^2 = \hat{\mu}(\alpha).$$

(A) and (B)  $\Rightarrow \lim \mu_n(\alpha) = \hat{\mu}(\alpha).$  (3.20)

We obtain (3.12) of Theorem 3.1 by proceeding as in [2, pp. 42, 43]. Then (3.12), (2.2), and Lemma 3.2 imply (3.13) of Theorem 3.1.

## REFERENCES

1. J. Geronimus, Extremal problems in the space  $L^2(\sigma)$ , *Mat. Sb.* **31** (1952), 3–26 [in Russian].
2. V. Kaliaguine and R. Benzine, Sur la formule asymptôtique des polynômes orthogonaux associés à une mesure concentrée sur un contour plus une partie discrète finie, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Ser. B* **41**, No. 1 (1989), 29–46.
3. P. Koosis, “Introduction to  $H^p$  Spaces,” London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, Vol. 40, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1980.
4. P. P. Korovkin, On polynomials, orthogonal on a rectifiable curve, with respect to a weight function, *Mat. Sb.* **9** (1941), 469–484 [in Russian].
5. W. Rudin, “Real and Complex Analysis,” McGraw–Hill, New York, 1968.
6. V. I. Smirnov and N. A. Lebedev, “The Constructive Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable,” Nauka, Moscow, 1964 [in Russian]; M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1968 [Engl. transl.].
7. G. Szegő, “Orthogonal Polynomials,” Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 23, 4th ed., American Math. Society, Providence, RI, 1975.